مجلة جامعة تشرين للدراسات والبحوث العلمية _ سلسلة العلوم الأساسية المجلد (25) العدد (15) 2003 Tishreen University Journal for Studies and Scientific Research-Basic Science Series Vol (25) No (15) 2003

The Octupole Correlations In The ²⁰⁹bi,²⁰⁹pb Nuclei

Dr. S.B.DOMA^{*} Dr. H.A.SALMAN^{**} A.D.TFIHA^{***}

(Accepted 19/7/2003)

\Box ABSTRACT \Box

The self consistent Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov problem for nuclei with A=209 is solved by using the variation principle. Accordingly, the octupole coupling of $h_{\frac{9}{2}} \otimes d_{\frac{3}{2}}$ and $i_{\frac{13}{2}} \otimes h_{\frac{9}{2}}$ of the nucleus ²⁰⁹Bi have been calculated for different octupole strengths. Moreover, the octupole coupling between $j_{\frac{15}{2}} \otimes g_{\frac{9}{2}}$ of the nucleus ²⁰⁹Pb has

been also calculated.

*Professor At Mathematics Department., Faculty Of Science, Alexandria, University, Moharram Bay, Alexandria, Egypt E-Mail Address: <u>Sbdoma@Yahoo.Com</u>

******Professor At Physics Department, Faculty Of Science, Tishreen University, Latakia, Syria. ******* Ph.D. Student, Physics Department, Faculty Of Science, Tishreen University, Latakia, Syria. مجلة جامعة تشرين للدراسات والبحوث العلمية _ سلسلة العلوم الأساسية المجلد (25) العدد (25) Tishreen University Journal for Studies and Scientific Research-Basic Science Series Vol (25) No (15) 2003

$^{209}{ m Bi},\,^{209}{ m Pb}$ ارتباطات ثماني القطب في النواتين

الدكتور صلاح بدوي دومه[•] الدكتور حسن عبد الكريم سلمان^{••} أمير تفيحة^{••••}

(قبل للنشر في 2003/7/19 (

🗆 الملخّص 🗆

حلت مسألة هارتري -فوك -بوغليوبوف للأنوية التي لها عدد كتلي A=209 باستخدام مبدأ التغير. وتم حساب ارتباط ثماني قطب للإنتقالين $\frac{1}{2}$ B $h_{\frac{9}{2}}$ ، $h_{\frac{9}{2}}$ B $h_{\frac{1}{2}}$ من أجل سعات مختلفة لاهتزاز $\frac{1}{2}$ B $h_{\frac{1}{2}}$ ، $h_{\frac{9}{2}}$ B $h_{\frac{1}{2}}$ من أجل سعات مختلفة لاهتزاز مساب ارتباط ثماني قطب للإنتقال $\frac{1}{2}$ B $\frac{$

^{*} أستاذ في قسم الرياضيات-كلية العلوم-جامعة الاسكندرية-الاسكندرية- مصر sbdoma@yahoo.com

أستاذ في قسم الفيزياء-كلية العلوم-جامعة تشرين-اللاذقية- سورية

^{***} طالب دكتوراه في قسم الفيزياء –كلية العلوم –جامعة تشرين –اللاذقية – سورية

1-INTRODUCTION:

The basic modes of excitations of nuclei are known in low-energy nuclear structure physics, namely single-particle and collective excitations. The later can be either vibrational motions (of spherical or deformed nuclei) or rotational motion (of prolate or oblate shaped ellipsoids). Since the different kinds of excitations lead to distinctively different patterns or sequences of excited levels we can obtain information on the nuclear structure of a specific isotope by measuring its excitation scheme. The structure depends on the interplay between protons and neutrons, and thus we finally test the strong nuclear force by comparing our model calculations with the experimental results.

Many properties of nuclei can be described in terms of a model of independent particles moving in an average potential whose space dependence closely follows the matter distribution. With unfilled shells, we find additional correlations between these particles. In the Bardeen, Cooper, and Schrieffer (BCS) model [1] we treat these correlations in a generalized single-particle picture by introducing quasi-particles and a new type of field, the pairing potential.

The Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov (HFB) theory [1] generalizes and unifies both methods. Within this theory we look for the most general product wave functions consisting of independently moving quasi-particles. They are determined by a variational principle and take into account as many correlations as possible staying within a static single-particle picture. It turns out that within this approximation, the Hamiltonian reduces to two average potentials, the self-consistent field **G**, which are already known from the Hartree-Fock theory, and an additional pairing field **D**, known from the BCS theory. The field **G** contains all the long range particle hole (ph)-correlations which eventually lead to a deformed ground state (phase transition). On the other hand, Dsums up the short-range pairing correlations that can lead to a phase transition and a superfluid state.

The collective description of the octupole degree of freedom has been a long standing problem in nuclear physics[2]. The theoretical calculations predicted the existence of octupole stable deformations[3]. The features observed in nuclei are very similar to the once familiar from molecular physics. In molecules a stable octupole deformation leads to the appearance of rotational bands with the alternating parity levels connected by strong E1 intra-band transition[4]. The nuclear structure community has devoted considerable theoretical and experimental effort to the study of the strong octupole correlation effects that are manifest only in the specific region of the periodic table. The octupole deformation can be understood through the single-particle level energy sequence for a harmonic-oscillator potential. In certain cases, an orbit is lowered into the next lowest major shell by the 1^2 and 1.s terms, these intruder orbits can be strongly coupled by the octupole interaction. And the effect of octupole deformation on single particle levels is related to the octupole correlations[5]. There are some empirical indications that nuclei situated at certain regions can be even considered as reflection asymmetric in their ground states in agreement with a variety of model estimates.

The octupole correlation is quite strong and very important in heavy nuclei. In this paper we investigate the octupole deformation of the two nuclei ${}^{209}_{82}$ Pb, ${}^{209}_{83}$ Bi.

2-OCTUPOLE DEFORMATION:

It is well known that the surface of the nucleus can be expand into spherical harmonics[6]

$$R(q,j) = R_0 (1 + a_{|m} a_{|m} Y_{|m}(q,j))$$
(2.1)

 λ =1 corresponds to dipole vibration, λ =2 corresponds to quadrupole vibration, λ =3 corresponds to an octupole vibration.

An octupole-deformed surface is given by

$$R(q,j) = R_0 (1 + a_{m} a_{3m} Y_{3m}(q,j))$$
 (2.2)

if we impose the axial symmetry (2.2) becomes

$$\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{j}) = \mathbf{R}_0 (1 + a_{30} \mathbf{Y}_{30}(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{j}))$$
(2.3).

For $\mathbf{a}_{30} = 0.3$ and $\mathbf{R}_0 = 1$ we can see that this surface looks like a pear so that the octupole-deformed nuclei are often called pear-shaped nuclei. To describe this case we use Hamiltonian[7]

$$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}_{s} + \mathbf{H}_{p} + \mathbf{H}_{QQ} \qquad (2.4)$$

where \mathbf{H}_s is the spherical single particle potential (Nilsson potential at zero deformation with corresponding single-particle energy E_k)

$$\mathbf{H}_{s} = \mathop{\mathbf{a}}_{k} E_{k} (a_{k}^{\dagger} a_{k} + a_{\overline{k}}^{\dagger} a_{\overline{k}})$$
(2.5)

where k refer to the spherical harmonic oscillator state $|\mathbf{n},\mathbf{l},\mathbf{j},\mathbf{m}\rangle$, $\mathbf{a}_{k}^{+} = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{a}_{k}^{+}\mathbf{T}^{-1}$.

The Hamiltonian \mathbf{H}_{p} are theoretical range pp-correlations[8]

$$\mathbf{H}_{p} = - \mathop{a}_{t\hat{1} \text{ neutrons}}_{\text{protons}} \mathbf{G}_{t} \mathbf{P}_{t}^{+} \mathbf{P}_{t}; \quad (2.6)$$

 $\mathbf{P}_{t}^{+} = \mathop{\mathbf{a}}_{kt}^{+} a_{k}^{+} a_{\overline{k}}^{+}$

where

The last part are long-range ph-correlations defined by

$$\mathbf{H}_{QQ} = -\frac{1}{2} \mathop{\text{a}}_{t=0,1}^{a} \mathbf{K}_{3}^{[t]} \mathbf{Q}_{30}^{+}[t] \mathbf{Q}_{30}[t] \qquad (2.8)$$
$$\mathbf{Q}_{30}[t] = \mathop{\text{a}}_{\text{neutron}}^{a} (q_{kl}^{30} a_{k}^{+} a_{1} + q_{k\bar{1}}^{30} a_{k}^{+} a_{\bar{1}} + q_{\bar{k}\bar{1}}^{30} a_{\bar{k}}^{+} a_{1} + q_{\bar{k}\bar{$$

(2.7)

in equation (2.9) q_{kl}^{30} are single-particle matrix elements in the spherical basis:

$$q_{kl}^{30} = \left\langle k \left| r^{3} Y_{30} \right| l \right\rangle \qquad (2.10)$$

Initially, only octupole deformation of the Y_{30} type where considered.

3-HARTREE-FOCK-BOGOLYUBOV SOLUTION

We assume that our vacuum is S-Symmetric (nuclei preserves the deformed average field with respect to reflections in planes perpendicular to the intrinsic axes 1 and 2) we obtain

 $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}_{0} + \mathbf{H}_{11} + \mathbf{H}_{20} + \mathbf{H}_{4}(AA) + \mathbf{H}_{4}(AB) + \mathbf{H}_{4}(BB)$ (3.1) where

$$\mathbf{H}_{0} = \mathop{a}_{ik} E_{k} (B_{\bar{k}}^{*} B_{\bar{k}}^{i*} + B_{\bar{k}}^{\bar{i}} B_{\bar{k}}^{\bar{i}*}) - \mathop{a}_{t} G_{t} (\langle |\mathbf{P}_{t}| \rangle)^{2} - \frac{1}{2} \mathop{a}_{t} K_{3}^{[t]} (\langle |\mathbf{F}_{0}^{(-)}[t]| \rangle)^{2}$$
(3.2)

and

$$\mathbf{H}_{4}(AB) = - \mathop{a}_{t}^{\circ} G_{t}(\mathbf{P}_{t}^{+}(A)\mathbf{P}_{t}(B) + \mathbf{P}_{t}^{+}(B)\mathbf{P}_{t}(A))$$
(3.3)

The self consistent HFB problem is solved by means of the Bogolyubov transformation form spherical particle operator a_i^+, a_i^- :

$$\mathbf{a}_{i} = \mathop{\mathbf{a}}_{k}^{i} \left(\mathbf{A}_{k}^{i} \mathbf{a}_{k}^{\dagger} + \mathbf{B}_{\overline{k}}^{i} \mathbf{a}_{\overline{k}}\right)$$
$$\mathbf{a}_{i}^{+} = \mathop{\mathbf{a}}_{k}^{i} \left(\mathbf{A}_{k}^{\overline{i}} \mathbf{a}_{k}^{\dagger} + \mathbf{B}_{k}^{\overline{i}} \mathbf{a}_{k}\right)$$
(3.4)

by substituting the equations (3.4) in the equation (3.1) we get the equation

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{HFB}} = \mathbf{H}_{0} + \mathbf{H}_{11} + \mathbf{H}_{20}$$
(3.5)
and using variation principle [9]
$$\frac{d}{d|} \frac{\langle \text{nljm} | \mathbf{H}_{\text{HFB}} | \text{nljm} \rangle}{\langle \text{nljm} | \text{nljm} \rangle} = 0$$
(3.6)

The variation principle leads to the following system of equations for the amplitudes $A_k^i, B_k^{\bar{i}}, A_{\bar{k}}^{\bar{i}}$ and $B_{\bar{k}}^{\bar{i}}$ for the corresponding quasiparticle energy E_i [10]:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{\mathbf{P}}^{(1)} & \widetilde{\mathbf{D}} & \widetilde{\mathbf{D}} \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{T}} & \mathbf{h}^{(2)} & \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{T}} & \mathbf{h}^{(2)} & \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \\ \end{array} \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{T}} & \widetilde{\mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{T}} & \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \widetilde{\mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{T}} & \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{T}} & \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{T}} & \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} & \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array}$$
 (3.7)

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {\displaystyle \mathop{\boldsymbol{\widetilde{C}}}}{\scriptstyle {\boldsymbol{\widetilde{C}}}}^{\scriptscriptstyle (1)} & {\displaystyle \mathop{\boldsymbol{\widetilde{D}}}_{\stackrel{\scriptstyle {\boldsymbol{\widetilde{C}}}}{\scriptstyle {\boldsymbol{\widetilde{C}}}}}{\scriptstyle {\boldsymbol{\widetilde{C}}}}{\scriptstyle {\boldsymbol{\widetilde{C}}}}}{\scriptstyle {\boldsymbol{\widetilde{C}}}}{\scriptstyle {\boldsymbol{\widetilde{C}}}}}{\scriptstyle {\boldsymbol{\widetilde{C}}}}{\scriptstyle {\boldsymbol{\widetilde{C}}}}{\scriptstyle$$

where[9]

$$= \mathbf{D}_{kl} = -\mathbf{G}_{t} \langle |\mathbf{P}_{t}| \rangle \mathbf{d}_{kl}$$
$$\mathbf{h}^{(2)} = -\mathbf{h}^{(1)}$$

4-CALCULATIONS

The HFB variational principle leads to the following single-particle Hamiltonian $h^{(1)}$

$$\mathbf{h}^{(1)} = \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{\xi} & -\frac{\mathbf{E}}{2} & -\mathbf{x}_{3} \mathbf{f}_{0} \mathbf{K}_{3}(\mathbf{m}) \ddot{\mathbf{\xi}} \\ \mathbf{\xi} & \mathbf{x}_{3} \mathbf{f}_{0} \mathbf{K}_{3}(\mathbf{m}) & \frac{\mathbf{E}}{2} & \frac{\mathbf{\xi}}{\mathbf{\varphi}} \end{array}$$
(4.1)

In equation(4.1) E is the energy difference between the spherical singleparticle energies of two orbitals, x_3 is the octupole interaction strength, and

$$K_{3}(m) = \langle n_{1}l_{1}j_{1}m | r^{3}Y_{30}(q,j) | n_{2}l_{2}j_{2}m \rangle$$

= $\langle n_{1}l_{1} | r^{3} | n_{2}l_{2} \rangle \langle l_{1}j_{1}m | Y_{30}(q,j) | l_{2}j_{2}m \rangle$ (4.2)

By applications of the Wigner-Echart theorem[1] to the term $\langle l_1 j_1 m | Y_{30}(q,j) | l_2 j_2 m \rangle$ we obtain

where

$$\langle l_1 j_1 m \| Y_{30}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{j}) \| l_2 j_2 m \rangle = (\frac{1 + (-1)^{l_1 + l_2 + 3}}{2}) \sqrt{\frac{7(2j_1 + 1)(2j_2 + 1)}{4p}} (-1)^{j_1 - \frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{g} \dot{\mathbf{g}}_1 & \mathbf{3} & j_2 \ddot{\mathbf{g}}_2 \\ \mathbf{g} \dot{\mathbf{g}}_2 & \mathbf{g} \dot{\mathbf{g}}_2 \\ \mathbf{g} \dot{\mathbf{g}}_2 & \mathbf{g} \dot{\mathbf{g}}_2 \\ \mathbf{g} \dot{\mathbf{g}}_2 & \mathbf{g} \dot{\mathbf{g}}_2 \\ \mathbf{g} \dot{\mathbf{g}} \dot{\mathbf{g}}_2 & \mathbf{g} \dot{\mathbf{g}}_2 \\ \mathbf{g} \dot{\mathbf{g}} \dot{\mathbf{g}}_2 & \mathbf{g} \dot{\mathbf{g}}_2 \\ \mathbf{g} \dot{\mathbf{g}} \dot{\mathbf{g}} \dot{\mathbf{g}}_2 & \mathbf{g} \dot{\mathbf{g}}_2 \\ \mathbf{g} \dot{\mathbf{g}} \dot{\mathbf{g}} \dot{\mathbf{g}} & \mathbf{g} \dot{\mathbf{g}} \dot{\mathbf{g}} \\ \mathbf{g} \dot{\mathbf{g}} \dot{\mathbf{g}} & \mathbf{g} \dot{\mathbf{g}} \\ \mathbf{g} \dot{\mathbf{g}} \dot{\mathbf{g}} \dot{\mathbf{g}} & \mathbf{g} \dot{\mathbf{g}} \\ \mathbf{g} \dot{\mathbf{g}} \dot{\mathbf{g}} \dot{\mathbf{g}} & \mathbf{g} \dot{\mathbf{g}} \\ \mathbf{g} \dot{\mathbf{g}} \dot{\mathbf{g}} & \mathbf{g} \dot{\mathbf{g}} \dot{\mathbf{g}} \\ \mathbf{g} \dot{\mathbf{g}} \dot{\mathbf{g}} & \mathbf{g} \dot{\mathbf{g}} \\ \mathbf{g} \dot{\mathbf{g}} \dot{\mathbf{g}} & \mathbf{g} \dot{\mathbf{g}} \\ \mathbf{g} \dot{\mathbf{g}} \dot{\mathbf{g}} & \mathbf{g} \dot{\mathbf{g}} \dot{\mathbf{g}} \\ \mathbf{g} \dot{\mathbf{g}} \dot{\mathbf{g}} & \mathbf{g} \dot{\mathbf{g}} \dot{\mathbf{g}} \\ \mathbf{g} \dot{\mathbf{g}} \dot{\mathbf{g}} & \mathbf{g} \\ \mathbf{g} \dot{\mathbf{g}} \dot{\mathbf{g}} & \mathbf{g} \\ \mathbf{g} \dot{\mathbf{g}} \dot{\mathbf{g}} & \mathbf{g} \dot{\mathbf{g}} \dot{\mathbf{g}} \\ \mathbf{g} \dot{\mathbf{g}} \dot{\mathbf{g}} \dot{\mathbf{g}} & \mathbf{g} \\ \mathbf{g} \dot{\mathbf{g}} & \mathbf{g} \\ \mathbf{g} \dot{\mathbf{g}} \dot{\mathbf{g}} & \mathbf{g} \\ \mathbf{g} \\ \mathbf{g} & \mathbf{g} \\ \mathbf{g} \\ \mathbf{g} & \mathbf{g} \\ \mathbf{g} \\ \mathbf{g} & \mathbf{g} \\ \mathbf{g} & \mathbf{g} \\ \mathbf{g} \\$$

In equation (4.3) and (4.4) $\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{\tilde{g}}_1 & 3 & \mathbf{j}_2 \\ \mathbf{\tilde{g}}_- & \mathbf{m} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{m} \\ \mathbf{\tilde{g}} \end{array}$ are Wigner 3j symbols.

In equation(4.1) f_0 given by

$$\mathbf{f}_{0} = \mathop{\mathbf{a}}_{_{i}\mathbf{f}_{i_{\mathrm{F}}}} \left\langle \mathbf{n}_{i}\mathbf{l}_{i} \mathbf{j}_{i} \mathbf{m} \middle| \mathbf{r}^{3} \mathbf{Y}_{30}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{j}) \middle| \mathbf{n}_{i}\mathbf{l}_{i} \mathbf{j}_{i} \mathbf{m} \right\rangle$$
(4.5)

and $\langle r^3 \rangle$ is given by

where

$$n = \frac{1}{2}(N-1), \quad n \not = \frac{1}{2}(N \not - 1 \not), \quad u = \frac{1}{2}(1 \not - 1 + 3), \quad u \not = \frac{1}{2}(1 - 1 \not + 3)$$

we use Nilsson model to calculate E the energy difrence between the spherical single-particle energies

5-RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

The only state observed in the nucleus with A=209 are singleparticle and single hole states. The experimental study of the ²⁰⁹Bi(d ${}^{3}\text{He})^{208}\text{Pb}$ reaction found to populate the I^p = 3⁻ state in the nucleus ${}^{208}\text{Pb}$ and that the process involve pickup of a d_3 proton[11].

In our calculations we have used values of the oscillator parameter, which depend on the mass number A, the number of neutrons N and the number of protons Z as follows [13]

$$\mathbf{hw} = \frac{38.6}{A^{\frac{1}{3}} [1 + \frac{1.646}{A} - 0.191 \frac{(N - Z)}{A}]^2}$$
(5.1)

In Table-1 we present the calculated values of the matrix elements of r^3 , and K_3 for each transition of the two nuclei ²⁰⁹Bi and ²⁰⁹Pb.

The calculated values of the energy splitting are also given in this table. In Figures-1 we present the calculated and the corresponding energy splitting for the two nuclei ²⁰⁹Bi and ²⁰⁹Pb, respectively. In Figures-2 (a, b, and c) we present the variations of the octupole coupling with respect to the interaction strength for each nucleus.

The results of the calculations for octupole coupling of $h_{9} \otimes d_{3}$ and

 $i_{\underline{13}} \otimes h_{\underline{9}}$ of the ²⁰⁹Bi nucleus have been in good agreement with the

experiment for octupole strength 1.017 MeV fm⁻⁶, the addition of an octupole quantum $i_{\frac{13}{2}} \otimes h_{\frac{9}{2}}$ to the ground state of ²⁰⁹Bi is expected to give

rise to a septuplet of states [12] $(h_{\frac{9}{2}} 3^{-})I$ with $I = \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \dots, \frac{15}{2}$.

The observed small splitting of the multiplet components implies a weak coupling between odd proton and the octupole quantum, here it is only 15% for octupole strength 1.017.

For ²⁰⁹Pb, the coupling matrix element between the $j_{\frac{15}{2}}$ and $g_{\frac{9}{2}}$

Nucleus	Transition	$\left< n_1 l_1 \left r^3 \right n_2 l_2 \right>$	k ₃ (m)	DE(keV)
		fm ³		
²⁰⁹ Pb	j ₁₅ ® g ₉	253.5	-10.71	58
	$\overline{2}$ $\overline{2}$			
²⁰⁹ Bi	$h_{\underline{9}} \otimes d_{\underline{3}}$	341.2	2.96	69
	2 2			
²⁰⁹ Bi	i ₁₃ ® h ₉	233.5	-0.44	151
	$\overline{2}$ $\overline{2}$			

configuration has the value -0.88MeV at the strength 0.02.



Fig-1







fig (2)pointed out that the energy splitting h is very sensitive to the chosen octupole strength.

REFERENCE:

- 1- P. RING AND P. SCHUCK, THE NUCLEAR MANY-BODY PROBLEM, SPRINGER VERLAG, N.Y. (1980).
- 2-P.Moller and J.R.Nix, Nucl. Phys. A361,117(1981).
- 3-P.A.Butler and W.Nazarewics, Rev. Mod. Phys. 68.349(1996).
- 4-A.Tsvettkov etall., Nucl. Part. Phys. 28(2002).
- 5-S.G.Rohozinski, Rep. Prog. Phys. 51(1998).
- 6-S. B. Doma, Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis Journal, 2(5): 637(1999).
- 7-R.Palit etall., Phys. Rev. C 67(2003).
- 8-V.Veazquez etall., Nucl. Phys. A 643(1998).
- 9- P. Alexa, J. Kvasil, Z.Phys. A353(1995).
- 10-J. Kvasil, R.K. Sheline, P. Alexa, Int.J.Mod.Phys. E4(1995).
- 11-A. Bohr and B. R. Mottelson, Nuclear Structure, Vol. II, Benjamin, New York (1975).
- 12-Ellegaard, C., Kantele, J., and Vedelsby, Phys. Letters B 26(1968).
- 13-G.A.Lalazissis, C.P.Panos, Phys. Rev. C 51, No3(1995).