مجلة جامعة تشرين للبحوث والدراسات العلمية \_ سلسلة العلوم الهندسية المجلد (31) العدد (5) Tishreen University Journal for Research and Scientific Studies - Engineering Sciences Series Vol. (31) No. (5) 2009

# An Expert System for Directional Overcurrent Relays Coordination in Interconnected Networks

#### Dr. Ammar Hajjar<sup>\*</sup>

(Received 25 / 11 / 2008. Accepted 7 / 4 / 2009)

# $\Box$ ABSTRACT $\Box$

This paper presents a novel Expert System (ES) for automatic directional inverse overcurrent relays (OCR) coordination in interconnected networks. In the developed ES, the relay setting (determination the pickup current ( $I_{PU}$ ) and the time dial setting (TDS)) is based on relay setting standards and on the experience of skilled protection engineers.

In this paper, the coordination knowledge is represented in a rule-style (IF – THEN – rule structure), and a forward chaining inference engine is used to carry out the coordination process. The facts and the knowledge base (rules) of the developed ES are represented on a personal computer by making use of an expert system shell, CLIPS (C Language Implementation Production System). The developed ES does not depend on the break points determination in performing the coordination process, but it depends only on the iterative search which is conformed to the built in technique of the inference engine. A numerical load flow and fault analysis programs are integrated within the approach. The developed ES is designed to accept different looped networks configurations.

The developed ES based protection coordination is tested and validated through different case studies using CLIPS. The results showed that the developed ES is reliable and has a high performance, adequate response time, and flexibility.

**Keywords**: Expert System, Directional Inverse Overcurrent Relays, Relays Setting, Coordination, Interconnected Networks.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Electrical Power Engineering, Faculty of Mechanical and Electrical Engineering, Tishreen University, Lattakia ,Syria.

مجلة جامعة تشرين للبحوث والدراسات العلمية \_ سلسلة العلوم الهندسية المجلد (31) العدد (5) 2009 Tishreen University Journal for Research and Scientific Studies - Engineering Sciences Series Vol. (31) No. (5) 2009

# تنسيق زواجل التيار الزائد الاتجاهية في الشبكات المترابطة باستخدام نظام خبير

الدكتور عمار حجار \*

(تاريخ الإيداع 25 / 11 / 2008. قُبِل للنشر في 2/4/2009)

# 🗆 ملخّص 🗆

يقدم هذا البحث نظام خبير جديد لأجل النتسيق الآلي لزواجل التيار الزائد الاتجاهية ذات المميزات العكسية في الشبكات المترابطة. يعتمد تعيير الزواجل في النظام المستحدث (تحديد تيار التعيير و مميزة التشغيل الزمني) على المعايير القياسية لتعيير الزاجل و على خبرة مهندسي الحماية الماهرين.

لقد تم في هذا البحث تمثيل الخبرة بالنتسيق بالأسلوب الشرطي (البنية الشرطية – إذا – عندئذ)، وقد استخدمت آلة الاستدلال (الاستقراء و الاستنباط) ذات الاتجاه الأمامي لتنفيذ عملية النتسيق، كما تم تمثيل الحقائق وقاعدة المعرفة (القواعد) للنظام الخبير المستحدث على الحاسوب الشخصي باستخدام بيئة تطوير النظام الخبير المسماة "كليبس" (نظام البناء التنفيذي بلغة سي). إن النظام الخبير المستحدث لا يعتمد على تحديد نقاط القطع في انجاز عملية التسيق، بل يعتمد فقط على البحث التكراري المتوافق مع التقنية التي تعمل بها آلة الاستدلال. لقد تم إلحاق الطريقة المستحدثة ببرنامجي حساب سريان الحمولة و الأعطال الرقميين، كما أنه تم تصميم النظام الخبير ليقبل أشكال

لقد تم اختبار النظام الخبير المستحدث لتنسيق الحماية و التحقق منه من خلال دراسة حالات مختلفة باستخدام البيئة "كليبس"، حيث أظهرت النتائج أن الطريقة المستحدثة ذات موثوقية، و تملك أداء عالي، و زمن استجابة ملائم، و مرونة.

**الكلمات المفتاحية:** نظام خبير – زواجل التيار الزائد الاتجاهية ذات المميزات العكسية – تعيير الزواجل – التنسيق – الشبكات المترابطة.

<sup>\*</sup> مدرس – قسم هندسة الطاقة الكهربائية – كلية الهندسة الميكانيكية و الكهربائية – جامعة تشرين – اللاذقية – سورية.

# Introduction:

Directional inverse OCR, which is simple and economic, is commonly used in power system protection, as a primary protection in a distribution and sub-transmission systems and as a secondary protection in transmission systems. The main problem that arises with this type of relay is the protection coordination. Protection coordination in interconnected networks is tedious and time consuming task for the protection engineers. It involves much repetitious routines dealing with a large volume of data. Moreover, the frequent need to review and readjust the relays setting constitutes an additional trouble to the protection engineers. However, there are several reasons to review the relays setting. For example, when a line is temporarily taken out of service, or after any change in the loading and/or generation conditions. If the relays setting are not adapted in a response to the aforementioned changes, the performance of the protection system would be corrupted [1]. So that, automating the process of protection coordination in interconnected networks would have a great impact on the overall system performance as well as service continuity.

Since 1960s, a great effort has been devoted for developing approaches for automating the protection coordination to assist the protection engineers. Some of these approaches are procedural [2-4], and the others, which are simple, non procedural [5-7]. Ref. [2] introduced a trial and error approach which required a huge number of iterations to be converged (if any). Ref. [3], introduced more systematic approach based on the topological analysis of the network. However, it is required a huge number of matrixes manipulations, and there is no guarantee that the approach will converge. Ref. [4], introduced an optimization based approach for solving the problem of protection coordination, but it still needs the experience of the protection engineers. Ref. [5] adopted an expert system for protective devices setting and miscoordination correction, but the adopted miscoordination corrective method did not guarantee system-wide coordination. Ref. [6] used an expert system shell to implement the Protective Device Coordination Expert System (PDCES) software to correct the miscoordination protective device pairs. Ref. [7], presented an expert system for protective devices coordination based on a break points (the relays by which the coordination process will start) method that required redundant facts and rules; however, the application's results showed that there is no coordination in several cases.

In this paper, a novel six rules based ES is developed for the directional inverse OCR coordination in interconnected networks. The developed ES does not depend on the break points determination in performing the coordination process, but it depends only on the iterative search which is conformed to the built-in technique of the inference engine. The ES is structured using CLIPS shell, which is a forward chaining rule-based language. A computer simulation of the developed ES showed that the ES is reliable and has a high performance, adequate response time, and flexibility.

### **Importance of the Research and Its Objectives:**

The process of directional inverse OCR coordination in interconnected networks involves setting relays one by one, so that at each stage the relay being set should be coordinated with all its primary relays. Therefore, the inherent multi-loop structure of the modern power systems requires a large number of relays setting calculations to be done iteratively around all loops of the system until system wide coordination is obtained. In this respect, a logic approach, based simple IF-THEN-rules, appears to be more suitable for the protection coordination than procedural approaches [2-4] due to the following reasons:

- The coordination process, which is an art more than a science, demands appreciable knowledge and ingenuity of a senior protection engineer.

- Major parts of the relays settings knowledge are available in a rule-style.

- The coordination problem is generally non-procedural and it is solved using heuristics and human expertise.

- Setting of the protection in interconnected systems is basically a search problem in which every primary/backup (P/B) relays pair should be coordinated.

- Expert systems can give explanations about their decisions.

One objective of this paper is to introduce a novel ES, using simple IF-THEN-rules structure that automates the process of directional OCR coordination in interconnected networks, and helps the protection engineer in performing that tedious and time consuming task. Other objective is to introduce a non-procedural coordination approach that is more suitable than procedural approaches that discussed in [2-4].

### **Methodology and Material:**

#### 1- Structure of the Protection Coordination System

An expert system is an intelligent computer program that uses knowledge and inference engine to solve problems that are difficult enough to require significant human expertise for their solution [8].

Figure (1) depicts a block diagram of the developed ES based protection coordination. It consists of two parts: the first one is the ES that works under CLIPS shell, the second one comprises the load flow and fault analysis programs that work under Matlab environment. A brief description of the main components of figure (1) is given bellow:



Figure (1) A block diagram for the developed ES based protection coordination.

1. User Interface: it is the mechanism by which the user and the ES communicate.

**2. Facts**: facts are the data on which inferences are derived. Facts are changeable with respect to the network configuration.

**3. Knowledge Base**: it contains all the user defined rules in the form of (IF condition(s) THEN conclusion(s)). These rules are needed to allow proper inferences during the coordination process. Rules are permanent knowledge.

**4. Inference Engine:** it is the main component in the ES (it is a built-in component in a CLIPS Shell) that process knowledge to draw useful conclusions. It provides methods to control the direction of reasoning (forward and/or backward chaining), as well as strategies like (Depth, Random, Complexity, etc) to guide the search for a solution. It worth mentioning that, forward chaining is reasoning from facts to the conclusions resulting those facts. Whereas, backward chaining involves reasoning in reverse from a hypothesis, a potential conclusion to be proven, to the facts which supports the hypothesis. However, a hypothesis can be viewed as a fact whose truth is in doubt and needs to be established. The hypothesis can then be interpreted as a goal to be proven. In this context, a forward chaining method appears to be more suitable for protection coordination process than a backward chaining method. Moreover, depth search strategy is more suitable for protection coordination process than other strategies because it guides the search in depth for a solution [8].

**5**. **Agenda**: it is a prioritized list of rules that created by the inference engine, whose rules are satisfied by the facts.

**6. Load flow program:** A Newton-Raphson based load flow program is developed under MATLAB environment to determine the pre-fault bus voltages, line currents at the considered loading conditions, and consequently to determine the relays pickup currents. In this respect, the  $I_{PU}$  is determined as 1.5 times the line current.

**7. Fault analysis program:** A Thevenin's Equivalent based fault analysis program is developed under MATLAB environment to simulate the faults at required locations, to calculate the P/B relays currents pairs, and to choose the current transformers (CTs) ratios for all relays. In this regard, the CT can be selected according to the maximum load current, but it must not saturate at high level fault currents. According to [9], the secondary current value of the CT must not overreach 20 times the selected CT current rating; otherwise the CT will be saturated.

#### 1-1 The mechanism by which the developed ES work

• The user (protection engineer) triggers the load flow and fault analysis programs, through a user interface, to prepare the required facts to the ES.

• The ES compares these facts with the existing rules, and then the inference engine collects the satisfied rules in the agenda according to their priorities.

• The inference engine then executes the agenda according to the priorities. In so doing, the user obtains the solution of the OCR coordination problem in the form of expertise (the TDS for each relay that satisfies the coordination time interval (CTI) between this relay and its own backup relay(s)).

• If any change occurs in the protected network, operational and/or topological, the user repeats the previous three steps.

In the protection coordination, every primary relay has its own backup relay(s). Therefore, the main job of the developed ES is to find the P/B relays pairs, which are essential for forming the coordination pairs, and to find the TDS for all relays and consequently to calculate the P/B operating times of the relays that satisfy the coordination condition.

In this context, the TDS defines the operating time (T) of the relay for each current value I, overload and/or fault, and is generally given as a curve, T versus M, where, M is the multiple of the  $I_{PU}$  [1]. Hence, M given as follows:

$$M = \frac{I}{I_{PU}} \tag{1}$$

In this work the OCR is digital and conformed to the following IEC characteristic [10]:

$$T = \frac{k_1 . TDS}{[M^{k_2} - 1]}$$
(2)

where,  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are constants, their values depend on the relay characteristics (normal inverse, very inverse, extremely inverse). It is worth mentioning that the inverse OCR allows for continuous TDS but discrete  $I_{PU}$  settings [1, 4].

#### **2 Protection Coordination:**

## **2-1 Protection coordination facts:**

As mentioned previously, facts contain data that are generally about a power system configuration (lines, buses) and relays. The templates for the aforementioned facts are as follows:

(line <line-ID><bus-from><bus-to>)

(bus <bus-ID>)

(relay <relay-ID><bus ><line><CT ratio>< I<sub>PU</sub>>)

(fault\_currents <Primary relay-ID>< fault current><Backup relay-ID>< fault current>)

#### 2-2 Protection coordination rules:

This section introduces a set of general rules to be applied for all relays in the protected system.

<u>Rule1</u> (Forming P/B relays pairs)

IF relay fact is satisfied

**AND** another relay fact with different ID and line but at other bus of the line of the first relay fact is satisfied

**THEN** the first relay is back up for a second relay.

| Rule2 | (TDS initiating with a lower bound value (LBV))                                     |
|-------|---|
| ſF    | relay fact is satisfied   |
| ΓHEN  | the initial value of TDS for this relay = LBV $(0.05 \text{ according to } [10])$ . |

<u>Rule3</u> (calculating the P/B times for this relay)

**IF** TDS,  $M_p$  and  $M_b$  are satisfied

**THEN** calculates the values of POT and BOT for this relay.

where,  $M_p$  and  $M_b$  refer to primary and backup multiple  $I_{PU}$ , respectively, and POT, BOT refer to primary and backup operating time, respectively.

<u>Rule4</u> (checking for the CTI condition between the P/B relays pairs)

**IF** the time margins (TM) between the P/B relays pairs are less than a predetermined value of the CTI (0.2 in our work)

**THEN** increment TDS of the backup relay and recalculate POT, BOT.

| Rule5 | (checking the upper bound value (UBV) for TDS)                 |
|-------|--|
| IF    | TDS for any relay is more than the UBV (1.1 according to [10]) |
| THEN  | print no coordination could be found.                          |
| Dula  | (printing the regults)   |

| <u>Ruleo</u> | (printing the results)                  |
|--------------|---|
| IF           | coordination process is finished        |
| THEN         | print TDS, POT, and BOT for all relays. |

In this paper, all the abovementioned facts and rules are coded in CLIPS, for example, the code for Rule 1 is given as follows:

```
(defrule backup-primary-relay-pairs
(relay ?r1 ?bus1 ?line1)
(or (line ?line1 ?bus1 ?bus2) (line ? line1 ?bus2 ?bus1))
(relay ?r2 ?bus2 ~?line1)
=>
(assert (backup ?r1 ?r2)))
```

## **Results and Discussion:**

The developed ES has been applied to two test systems: a 3-bus test system [4], and the IEEE 5-bus test system [11]. In this study, all OCR are assumed to be digital and identical, with normal inverse characteristic, the characteristic constants are: k1 = 0.14, k2 = 0.02 [10], the CTI is taken as 0.2 second. Moreover, the digital relays allow three decimal places for TDS and needless to round of the TDS as it was done in [12].

In implementing the developed ES protection coordination, the  $I_{PU}$  for all relays are calculated in the help of a load flow program, the CTs ratios for all relays are chosen in the help of load flow and fault analysis programs, as illustrated in section (3-1), the P/B relays fault currents pairs are calculated using the fault analysis program. The Facts for each test system are prepared based on the power system configuration. At this phase, all data necessary for executing the developed ES are ready and then the ES executes the coordination process (determines the B/P relays pairs, TDS for each relay and accordingly the P/B relay operating times that satisfy the coordination condition)

#### 1 A 3-Bus Test System

Figure (2) depicts a 3-bus test system. A complete data of this system, as given in [4], is shown below in Table (1). Three-phase faults are carried out at locations F1,..., F6.



Tables (2-5) depict the results as calculated by the developed ES. Table (2) depicts the fault currents for each B/P relays pair as calculated by the developed fault analysis program. Table (3) depicts the B/P relays pairs. Table (4) depicts the TDS for each relay in conjunction with its own P/B operating times. Table (5) depicts the time margin between each P/B relays pair.

| G1              | 100 MVA        | 69    | KV        |         | 20 %  |
|-----------------|----------------|-------|-----------|---------|-------|
| G2              | 25 MVA         | 69    | KV        |         | 12 %  |
| G3              | 50 MVA         | 69    | KV        |         | 18 %  |
| Line 12         | <b>2</b> 50 km | Z =   | = 5.5 + j | 22.85 o | hm    |
| Line 23         | <b>3</b> 40 km | Z =   | = 4.4 + j | 18.00 o | hm    |
| Line 13         | <b>3</b> 60 km | Z =   | = 7.6 + j | 2700 o  | hm    |
| Relay Symbo     | <b>ol</b> 1    | 2     | 3         | 4       | 5 6   |
| CT Ratio        | 300/5          | 200/5 | 200/5     | 300/5   | 200/5 |
|                 |                | 400/5 |           |         |       |
| I <sub>PU</sub> | 5              | 1.5   | 5         | 4 2     | 2 2.5 |

Table (1) A 3-bus test system data as given in [4]

Table (2) The fault currents for each B/P relays pair in [kA]for a 3-bus test system

| BACKUP  | <b>B_RELAY</b> | PRIMARY | P_RELAY |
|---------|----------------|---------|---------|
| RELAY   | FAULT          | RELAY   | FAULT   |
| SYMBOLE | CURRENT        | SYMBOLE | CURRENT |
| R1      | 1.1637         | R3      | 2.8956  |
| R2      | 0.9211         | R6      | 5.0169  |
| R3      | 1.1309         | R5      | 3.4399  |
| R4      | 1.2079         | R2      | 2.9398  |
| R5      | 0.8465         | R1      | 5.0169  |
| R6      | 0.9942         | R4      | 3.3032  |

Table (3) The P/B relays pairs for a 3-bus test system

| RELAY 1 BACKS UP         | RELAY 5 BACKS UP         |  |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| RELAY 3                  | RELAY 1                  |  |
| relay 4 backs up relay 2 | relay 2 backs up relay 6 |  |
| relay 3 backs up relay 5 | relay 6 backs up relay 4 |  |

Table (4) The TDS and P/B operating times in [Sec.] for each relay in a 3–bus test system

| TDS OF RELAY 5 IS 0.152 | TDS OF RELAY 4 IS 0.121 |  |  |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| POT OF RELAY 5 IS 0.272 | POT OF RELAY 4 IS 0.314 |  |  |
| BOT OF RELAY 5 IS 0.440 | BOT OF RELAY 4 IS 0.515 |  |  |
| TDS of relay 1 is 0.099 | TDS of relay 2 is 0.181 |  |  |
| POT of relay 1 is 0.239 | POT of relay 2 is 0.313 |  |  |
| BOT of relay 1 is 0.504 | BOT of relay 2 is 0.451 |  |  |
| TDS of relay 3 is 0.119 | TDS of relay 6 is 0.120 |  |  |
| POT of relay 3 is 0.303 | POT of relay 6 is 0.251 |  |  |
| BOT of relay 3 is 0.472 | BOT of relay 6 is 0.515 |  |  |

| 101 a 5–bus test system          |                                  |  |  |  |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| TM BETWEEN RELAYS 3 & 5 IS 0.200 | TM BETWEEN RELAYS 6 & 4 IS 0.200 |  |  |  |
| TM between relays 5 & 1 is 0.201 | TM between relays 4 & 2 is 0.202 |  |  |  |
| TM between relays 1 & 3 is 0.200 | TM between relays 2 & 6 is 0.200 |  |  |  |

Table (5) The time margin in [Sec.] between each P/B relays pair for a 3–bus test system

#### 2 The IEEE 5-Bus Test System

Figure (3) depicts the IEEE 5-bus test system that consists of 8 lines with 16 relays in conjunction with 40 B/P relays pair. The results of this system, as calculated by the developed ES, are listed in Tables (6-10). Table (6) depicts the currents flow, CTs ratios and  $I_{PU}$ . Table (7) depicts the fault currents for each B/P relays pair. Table (8) depicts the B/P relays pairs. Table (9) depicts the TDS for each relay in conjunction with its own P/B operating times. Table (10) depicts the time margin between each P/B relays pair.



#### Figure (3) The IEEE 5-bus test system

| for each relay in the IEEE 5-bus test system |          |         |                 |  |  |  |
|--|----------|---------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| RELAY  | CURRENTS | C.TS    | I <sub>PU</sub> |  |  |  |
| SYMBOL                                       | FLOW [A] | RATIO   | (1-12) [A]      |  |  |  |
| R1   | 307.1165 | 400 / 5 | 6               |  |  |  |
| R2   | -        | 200 / 5 | 1               |  |  |  |
| R3   | -        | 200 / 5 | 1               |  |  |  |
| R4   | 307.1165 | 400 / 5 | 6               |  |  |  |
| R5   | 254.3676 | 300 / 5 | 7               |  |  |  |
| R6   | -        | 200 / 5 | 1               |  |  |  |
| R7   | 275.3653 | 300 / 5 | 7               |  |  |  |
| R8   | -        | 100 / 5 | 1               |  |  |  |
| R9   | 176.3824 | 200 / 5 | 7               |  |  |  |
| R10  | -        | 200 / 5 | 1               |  |  |  |
| R11  | -        | 200 / 5 | 1               |  |  |  |

Table (6) The currents flow, CTs ratios and  $I_{PU}$  for each relay in the IEEE 5–bus test system

| R12 | 111.3044 | 200 / 5 | 4 |
|-----|----------|---------|---|
| R13 | -        | 100 / 5 | 1 |
| R14 | 131.6060 | 200 / 5 | 5 |
| R15 | -        | 100 / 5 | 1 |
| R16 | 289.5297 | 300 / 5 | 7 |

Note: ' - ' means that the current flow is in the reverse direction of the relay operation

| for the IEEE 5–bus test system |                |         |         |  |  |  |
|--------------------------------|----------------|---------|---------|--|--|--|
| BACKUP                         | <b>B_RELAY</b> | PRIMARY | P_RELAY |  |  |  |
| RELAY                          | FAULT          | RELAY   | FAULT   |  |  |  |
| SYMBOL                         | CURRENT        | SYMBOL  | CURRENT |  |  |  |
| R1                             | 1.1606         | R3      | 4.4475  |  |  |  |
| R1                             | 1.1606         | R7      | 5.0255  |  |  |  |
| R1                             | 1.1606         | R9      | 4.7765  |  |  |  |
| R1                             | 1.1606         | R12     | 4.5301  |  |  |  |
| R2                             | 1.0237         | R4      | 4.9834  |  |  |  |
| R2                             | 1.0237         | R5      | 5.0344  |  |  |  |
| R3                             | 1.0237         | R1      | 4.9834  |  |  |  |
| R3                             | 1.0237         | R5      | 5.0344  |  |  |  |
| R4                             | 1.1606         | R2      | 4.4475  |  |  |  |
| R4                             | 1.1606         | R7      | 5.0255  |  |  |  |
| R4                             | 1.1606         | R9      | 4.7765  |  |  |  |
| R4                             | 1.1606         | R12     | 4.5301  |  |  |  |
| R5                             | 0.8918         | R11     | 5.4078  |  |  |  |
| R5                             | 0.8918         | R16     | 5.1914  |  |  |  |
| R6                             | 0.9743         | R1      | 4.9834  |  |  |  |
| R6                             | 0.9743         | R4      | 4.9834  |  |  |  |
| R7                             | 1.3140         | R13     | 1.8305  |  |  |  |
| R8                             | 0.6068         | R2      | 4.4475  |  |  |  |
| R8                             | 0.6068         | R3      | 4.4475  |  |  |  |
| R8                             | 0.6068         | R9      | 4.7765  |  |  |  |
| R8                             | 0.6068         | R12     | 4.5301  |  |  |  |
| R9                             | 0.9945         | R14     | 4.1115  |  |  |  |
| R9                             | 0.9945         | R15     | 1.6502  |  |  |  |
| R10                            | 0.7917         | R2      | 4.4475  |  |  |  |
| R10                            | 0.7917         | R3      | 4.4475  |  |  |  |
| R10                            | 0.7917         | R7      | 5.0255  |  |  |  |
| R10                            | 0.7917         | R12     | 4.5301  |  |  |  |
| R11                            | 1.0254         | R2      | 4.4475  |  |  |  |
| R11                            | 1.0254         | R3      | 4.4475  |  |  |  |
| R11                            | 1.0254         | R7      | 5.0255  |  |  |  |
| R11                            | 1.0254         | R9      | 4.7765  |  |  |  |
| R12                            | 0.8104         | R6      | 5.3526  |  |  |  |
| R12                            | 0.8104         | R16     | 5.1914  |  |  |  |
| R13                            | 0.6904         | R10     | 3.8216  |  |  |  |

Table (7) The fault currents for each B/P relays pair in [kA] for the IEEE 5-bus test system

| R13 | 0.6904 | R15 | 1.6502 |
|-----|--------|-----|--------|
| R14 | 1.3113 | R8  | 1.8266 |
| R15 | 1.0280 | R6  | 5.3526 |
| R15 | 1.0280 | R11 | 5.4078 |
| R16 | 3.1683 | R10 | 3.8216 |
| R16 | 3.1683 | R14 | 4.1115 |

#### Table (8) The P/B relays pairs for IEEE 5-bus IEEE test system

| RELAY 1 BACKS UP RELAY 3  | RELAY 11 BACKS UP RELAY 3  |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| relay 3 backs up relay 1  | relay 11 backs up relay 7  |
| relay 2 backs up relay 4  | relay 11 backs up relay 9  |
| relay 4 backs up relay 2  | relay 10 backs up relay 12 |
| relay 3 backs up relay 5  | relay 8 backs up relay 12  |
| relay 2 backs up relay 5  | relay 4 backs up relay 12  |
| relay 6 backs up relay 1  | relay 1 backs up relay 12  |
| relay 6 backs up relay 4  | relay 12 backs up relay 6  |
| relay 4 backs up relay 7  | relay 7 backs up relay 13  |
| relay 1 backs up relay 7  | relay 13 backs up relay 10 |
| relay 8 backs up relay 2  | relay 9 backs up relay 14  |
| relay 8 backs up relay 3  | relay 14 backs up relay 8  |
| relay 8 backs up relay 9  | relay 13 backs up relay 15 |
| relay 4 backs up relay 9  | relay 9 backs up relay 15  |
| relay 1 backs up relay 9  | relay 15 backs up relay 6  |
| relay 10 backs up relay 2 | relay 15 backs up relay 11 |
| relay 10 backs up relay 3 | relay 12 backs up relay 16 |
| relay 10 backs up relay 7 | relay 5 backs up relay 16  |
| relay 5 backs up relay 11 | relay 16 backs up relay 10 |
| relay 11 backs up relay 2 | relay 16 backs up relay 14 |

Table (9) The TDS and P/B operating times in [Sec.] for each relay in IEEE 5–bus test system

| TDS OF RELAY 3 IS 0.193 | TDS OF RELAY 9 IS 0.127  |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| POT OF RELAY 3 IS 0.273 | POT OF RELAY 9 IS 0.304  |
| BOT OF RELAY 3 IS 0.403 | BOT OF RELAY 9 IS 0.692  |
| TDS of relay 1 is 0.069 | TDS of relay 15 is 0.322 |
| POT of relay 1 is 0.201 | POT of relay 15 is 0.488 |
| BOT of relay 1 is 0.542 | BOT of relay 15 is 0.549 |
| TDS of relay 2 is 0.193 | TDS of relay 11 is 0.257 |
| POT of relay 2 is 0.273 | POT of relay 11 is 0.348 |
| BOT of relay 2 is 0.403 | BOT of relay 11 is 0.536 |
| TDS of relay 6 is 0.190 | TDS of relay 7 is 0.122  |
| POT of relay 6 is 0.258 | POT of relay 7 is 0.335  |
| BOT of relay 6 is 0.403 | BOT of relay 7 is 0.740  |
| TDS of relay 4 is 0.069 | TDS of relay 14 is 0.160 |
| POT of relay 4 is 0.201 | POT of relay 14 is 0.359 |
| BOT of relay 4 is 0.542 | BOT of relay 14 is 0.584 |

| TDS of relay 5 is 0.071  | TDS of relay 8 is 0.258  |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| POT of relay 5 is 0.195  | POT of relay 8 is 0.382  |
| BOT of relay 5 is 0.655  | BOT of relay 8 is 0.511  |
| TDS of relay 10 is 0.236 | TDS of relay 12 is 0.153 |
| POT of relay 10 is 0.346 | POT of relay 12 is 0.309 |
| BOT of relay 10 is 0.537 | BOT of relay 12 is 0.649 |
| TDS of relay 13 is 0.362 | TDS of relay 16 is 0.165 |
| POT of relay 13 is 0.536 | POT of relay 16 is 0.447 |
| BOT of relay 13 is 0.690 | BOT of relay 16 is 0.560 |

 Table (10) The time margin in [Sec.] between each

 P/B relays pair in the IEEE 5-bus test system

| TM BETWEEN RELAYS 11 & 3 IS 0.263  |  |
|------------------------------------|--|
| TM between relays 10 & 3 is 0.263  |  |
| TM between relays 8 & 3 is 0.237   |  |
| TM between relays 1 & 3 is 0.268   |  |
| TM between relays 3 & 5 is 0.208   |  |
| TM between relays 3 & 1 is 0.201   |  |
| TM between relays 6 & 1 is 0.201   |  |
| TM between relays 1 & 12 is 0.232  |  |
| TM between relays 1 & 7 is 0.206   |  |
| TM between relays 1 & 9 is 0.237   |  |
| TM between relays 11 & 2 is 0.263  |  |
| TM between relays 10 & 2 is 0.263  |  |
| TM between relays 8 & 2 is 0.237   |  |
| TM between relays 4 & 2 is 0.268   |  |
| TM between relays 2 & 5 is 0.208   |  |
| TM between relays 2 & 4 is 0.201   |  |
| TM between relays 15 & 6 is 0.291  |  |
| TM between relays 12 & 6 is 0.391  |  |
| TM between relays 6 & 4 is 0.201   |  |
| TM between relays 4 & 12 is 0.232  |  |
| TM between relays 4 & 7 IS 0.206   |  |
| TM between relays 4 & 9 is 0.237   |  |
| TM between relays 5 & 16 is 0.207  |  |
| TM between relays 5 & 11 is 0.306  |  |
| TM between relays 16 & 10 is 0.214 |  |
| TM between relays 13 & 10 is 0.344 |  |
| TM between relays 10 & 12 is 0.227 |  |
| TM between relays 10 & 7 is 0.201  |  |
| TM between relays 7 & 13 is 0.204  |  |
| TM between relays 13 & 15 is 0.201 |  |
| TM between relays 11 & 9 is 0.232  |  |
| TM between relays 8 & 9 is 0.206   |  |
| TM between relays 9 & 14 is 0.333  |  |
| TM between relays 9 & 15 is 0.203  |  |

| TM between relays 15 & 11 is 0.200 |  |
|------------------------------------|--|
| TM between relays 11 & 7 is 0.201  |  |
| TM between relays 16 & 14 is 0.200 |  |
| TM between relays 14 & 8 is 0.202  |  |
| TM between relays 8 & 12 is 0.201  |  |
| TM between relays 12 & 16 is 0.201 |  |

It is clear from the results that each relay works as a primary and as a backup relay. Moreover, the developed ES allows three decimal places for TDS and needless to round of the TDS as it was done in [12]. The maximum operating times for the backup and primary relays are equal to 0.515 and 0.314 second, respectively, for a 3-bus test system. Whereas, the maximum operating times for the backup and primary relays are equal to 0.690 and 0.536 second, respectively, for the IEEE 5-bus test system. It is worth mentioning that, it is allowed '1' second time delay for the primary overcorrect relays and 4 seconds time delay for backup relays [3].

The ES execution is carried out on a PC with 1.6 GHz speed. The execution time was 0.2 second for first example and 0.5 second for second example. Hence, the developed ES appears to be more suitable for adaptive coordination of OCR. On other hand, these protection coordination processes may take several hours or days from the protection engineer if he does it manually, irrespective of the mistakes witch may do.

### **Conclusion and Recommendations:**

This paper presented a novel six rules based ES for automatic coordination of directional inverse OCR in interconnected networks. Load flow and fault analysis programs are integrated within the developed ES. The coordination knowledge is represented in a rule-style and a forward chaining method, in conjunction with a depth search strategy, are used in the inference engine to perform the coordination process. The developed ES does not depend on the break points determination in performing the coordination process, but it depends, only, on the iterative search which is a built-in technique of the inference engine. The results of extensive computer simulations of the developed ES has exhibited optimal performance in the studied cases without any miscoordination case.

It is recommended to extend this work to include a new ES system for distance relays setting and coordination, and that is the on-going research to the author.

## **References:**

- [1] ANDERSON, P. M. "Power System Protection". IEEE Press Power Engineering Series, 2000, 1200.
- [2] ALBRECHT, R. E. and FEERO, W. E. "Digital computer protective device coordination program -I- general program description". IEEE Trans on PAS, Vol. 83, No 4, April 1964, 402-410.
- [3] DAMBORG, M. J., and VENKATA, S. S. "Specification of computer aided design of transmission protection systems". EPRI EL-3337, Report, PR 1764-6, Jan. 1984.
- [4] URDENTA, A. J., and NADIRA, R. "Optimal coordination of directional overcurrent relays in interconnected power systems". IEEE Trans. on Power Delivery, Vol. 3, July 1988, 903-911.
- [5] LEE, S. J., and JANG, J. "An expert system for protective relays setting of transmission lines". IEEE Trans. On Power Delivery, Vol. 5, No 2, 1990, 1204-1208.
- [6] HONG, H. W., MESA, V.M., and STEVEN, Ng. "*Protective device coordination expert system*". IEEE Trans. On Power Delivery, Vol. 6, No 1, 1991, 359-365.
- KAWARHARA, K., SASAKI, H. "An expert system for supporting relay setting for transmission lines". 5<sup>TH</sup> International Conference on Development In Power System Protection, March 1993, 203-206.
- [8] GIARRANATO, J., and RILEY, G., "*Expert Systems: Principles and Programming*". PSW-KENT Publishing Company Boston, 1989, 632.
- [9] IEEE PES Power System Relaying Committee, "*CT's and high fault currents*". IEEE Trans. On Power Delivery, Vol. 8, No.3, 1993, 884-897.
- [10] IEC standard, IEC publication, No 255-4, 1976.
- [11] LAWAY, N. A., GUPTA, H. O., "A method for coordination of overcurrent relays in an interconnected power systems". IE Journal, Vol. 74, August 1993, 59-65.
- [12] El-KHATTAM, W., and SIDHU, T., "Restoration of directional overcurrent relay coordination in distributed generation systems utilizing fault current limiter". IEEE Trans. On Power Delivery, Vol. 23, No. 2, April 2008, 576-585.